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The Grain Ethanol Industry in the Upper Midwest States

- As of March 2007:
  - MN # 4 Producer in U.S. with 14 Plants, 466 MGY
  - WI # 8 Producer with 5 Plants, 212 MGY
  - MI #10 Producer with 3 Plants, 150 MGY
  - MN # 4 Ethanol Consumer in U.S.
  - MI # 6 Ethanol Consumer
  - WI # 10 Ethanol Consumer
Commercialization of Cellulosic Ethanol

- 15-20 Pilot Plants Tested Worldwide, Mostly Small Batch Operations
- 2 Demonstration Plants Opened (Ottawa & Japan) with a 2-3 Others to Open Later in 2007
- 15-20 Commercial Plants Being Built Worldwide
  - Large Range of Feedstocks Proposed - Mostly Agricultural & Forestry Residues
Estimating Regional Economic Effects

- Largest Cost Items: Capital & Feedstocks
- Capital Cost for Cellulosic Plants Much Higher than for Grain Ethanol
- Employment Needs Modest, Except During Construction Phase
- Very Few Previous Studies Have Estimated Regional Economic Effects (Most Studies National)
- High Risk & Uncertainty with Cellulosic Ethanol Plants Owing to Lack of Commercial Experience
REMI (Regional Economic Models, Inc.) Model Used in This Study

- REMI Policy Insight is Leading Regional Economic Forecasting & Policy Analysis Model
- Model is Linked Regional Input-Output, Dynamic Econometric System with Neoclassical Assumptions
  - Estimates Direct + Indirect & Induced Effects
- Custom Built for This Study with 70 Sectors & 3 State Region (Michigan, Minnesota & Wisconsin)
- Uses Federal Economic & Demographic Data
Model Assumptions

- Had to Make Several Assumptions That Relied on Industry Data:
  - Employment Level & Wages for Plant Construction
  - O & M Employment & Wages (esp. in Chemical Manufacturing of Ethanol)
  - Demand for Equipment by Sector
Model Assumptions - cont.

- Atypical Intermediate Supplies thru Forestry, Agriculture & Transportation Sectors
- Wage Bill Adjustments to Reconcile REMI Wages by Sector to Likely Project Wages
- Capital Stock Adjustment
- Value Added for Chemical Sector to Reflect Cellulosic Ethanol Capital & Intermediate Input Intensities
Overview of Scenarios Modeled

- Most Pilot Plants are Very Small so Economic Effects are Nil, Thus We Considered:
  - Scenario A: 265,000 GPY & 10 Yr. Operations
  - Scenario B: 20 MGY & 15 Yr. Operations
  - Scenario C: 52 MGY & 20 Yr. Operations
Scenario A Results

- Jobs: 157/Yr. During Construction Phase
- Jobs: 92/Yr. During O & M Phase
  - Mostly in Manufacturing & Services
- Increased Real Disposable Personal Income: Avg. $5.6 Million/Yr ($2006 Throughout)
Scenario A Results - cont.

- Increased Economic Output: Avg. $25 Million/Yr.

- Gross Regional Product: Avg. $12.8 Million/Yr.

  - About Half of Total Output Increase Due to Out of State Purchases of Capital + Product Exports
Scenario B Results

- Jobs: 810/Yr. During Construction Phase
- Jobs: 342/Yr. During O & M Phase
  - Mostly in Manufacturing, Services, Trade
- Increased Real Disposable Personal Income: Avg. $20.3 Million/Yr.
Scenario B Results - cont.

- Increased Economic Output: Avg. $93.8 Million/Yr.
- Gross Regional Product: Avg. $44.7 Million/Yr.
Scenario C Results

- Jobs: 1,647/Yr. During Construction Phase
- Jobs: 526/Yr. During O & M Phase
  - Mostly in Manufacturing, Services, Transportation, Trade
- Increased Real Disposable Income: Avg. $32 Million/Yr.
Scenario C Results - cont.

- Economic Output: $148 Million/Yr
- Gross Regional Product: $65.9 Million/Yr.
Conclusions

- Regional Economic Effects of Commercial Cellulosic Ethanol Plants Much Greater than for Pilot & Demo
  - Significant Job Drop-off After Construction Period

- While MI is Behind MN & WI in Grain Ethanol it Can Catch up via Cellulosic Ethanol Industry
  - Will Not Happen Without Strategic Initiatives of State Government (Too Much Competition)
Conclusions - cont.

- Since MI has less farm & logging residues than MN or WI, MEDC should consider:
  - Focus on feedstocks MI has more of e.g. MSW
  - Focus on locations such as UP papermills or demand centers such as Detroit or Grand Rapids
  - State loan guarantees to cover assume risk